Aquarius optimum interpolation analysis for global and regional studies



3 beams 390 km wide swath



O. Melnichenko, P. Hacker, N. Maximenko, G. Lagerloef, and J. Potemra

2014 Aquarius/SAC-D Science Team Meeting 11-14 November 2014, Seattle, Washington Objectives: To develop gridded SSS analysis from Aquarius Level 2 (swath) data and improve the utility (reduce the noise) of the SSS fields:

- 1) Near-global coverage
- 2) From Sep 2011 present
- 3) (O~150 km) spatial scales
- 4) Weekly temporal scales
- 5) Global RMS error < 0.2 psu

Challenges: satellite biases, high level of instrument noise; correlated errors

Approach: 1) correction for large-scale biases, 2) optimum interpolation (OI) that takes into account analyzed errors on the observations, specific to the Aquarius instrument

Correction for large-scale satellite biases: $S_{adj} = S_{obs} - \Delta S$





-0.1

-0.2

Mean spatial bias correction fields for Aquarius ascending (left) and descending (right) data and for each of the three beams.

Input information for OI

Input data: Aquarius along-track SSS smoothed with a running Hanning filter of half-width of ~60 km.



First guess: Argo-derived monthly SSS (IPRC product)



Signal covariance: from Aquarius L2 data

$$c(r) = \exp(-r^2 / R^2) \qquad 92$$

92*< R* < 106 km



Long-wavelength error covariance:

 $c_{long}(l) = \exp(-l/R_l)$

 R_l =500 km

Statistics of the inter-beam biases are inferred from Aquarius – HYCOM inter-comparison.

Error covariance matrix (Le Traon et al., 1998):

$$< \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j >= \delta_{ij} \sigma_w$$

$$< \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j >= \delta_{ij} \sigma_w + \sigma_{long}$$

×

Melnichenko et al. [2014], JAOTec, 31, 1583-1600

A new gridded SSS product from Aquarius



A new high-resolution SSS product from Aquarius





Statistics of the differences between Argo buoy data and Aquarius OI SSS analysis for the period from September 2011 through August 2014



The error statistics are calculated by comparing Argo buoy measurements for a given week (\sim 1000, z < 6 m) with SSS values at the same locations obtained by interpolating the corresponding Aquarius-derived SSS maps.

Latitude-time distributions of the zonally averaged differences between weekly SSS maps and the corresponding Argo buoy data



(a) Standard Level-3 product without bias correction, (b) Standard Level-3 product with SST-dependent bias correction, and (c) OI SSS

Statistics of the differences between Argo buoy data and Aquarius OI SSS analysis for the period from September 2011 through August 2014



RMS Differences (psu) between weekly OI SSS maps and concurrent Argo buoy data

Sampling RMS Error

Differences can be due to

- 1. Misrepresentation of SSS by buoy measurements at 5 m depth
- 2. Unresolved small-scale variability
- 3. Unresolved temporal variability
- 4. Errors in the SSS maps
- 5. All of the above



Sampling RMS error due to unresolved small-scale variability from Vinogradova and Ponte [2013]

Conclusions

The Aquarius OI SSS analysis can be assessed from the APDRC webpage <u>http://apdrc.soest.hawaii.edu/datadoc/oisss.php</u> either through the Live Access Server or OPeNDAP.

The description of the mapping methodology as well as some results on statistical validation of the product against concurrent in-situ data can be found in the Technical Notes:

http://iprc.soest.hawaii.edu/users/oleg/oisss/glb/Technical_Notes.pdf

We hope that you find the data useful. Please send comments/questions to <u>oleg@hawaii.edu</u>.

OI SSS analysis for the week September 10-16, 2011



Long-wavelength error correction is switched off

Long-wavelength error correction is switched on

Static bias (3-year mean)



Ascending



Descending

Aquarius - APDRC



Aquarius – Met Office

