

Improved Seawater Model Function and its Comparison with Argo Data

Roger H. Lang¹, Yiwen Zhou¹, Calvin Drego¹, Cuneyt Utku², David Le Vine²

 George Washington University(GW), Washington D.C. 20052
 Cryospheric Sciences Branch NASA / Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD,20771



OUTLINE



I. Purpose

II. Basic Algorithm and Method

III.Measurements

IV. Results

V. Comparison with in-situ data





PURPOSE

> Develop an <u>accurate</u> relationship for the dependence of the

dielectric constant of seawater on temperature and salinity.

Compare salinity obtained by various algorithms with Argo buoy data.





Algorithm and Method



4/11/2012



Cavity Technique







The Algorithm

- ➤ Use perturbation theory.
- > Valid when sample volume is small.
- > The dielectric constant, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' + i\varepsilon''$ of the sample is:

$$\varepsilon' - 1 = 2C \frac{\Delta f}{f}, \qquad \varepsilon'' = C\Delta \left(\frac{1}{Q}\right)$$
$$\Delta f = f - f_0, \qquad \Delta \left(\frac{1}{Q}\right) = \frac{1}{Q} - \frac{1}{Q_0} = \frac{BW}{f} - \frac{BW_0}{f_0}$$

C - Calibration Coefficient





Experimental Setup





4/11/2012



Measurements

Old Measurements vs. New Measurements 2007-2008 2011-2012



4/11/2012

Calculation of C Constant



➢ Based on the algorithm, use sample with known dielectric constant value as a reference.

> Obtain C value by:

$$\varepsilon' - 1 = 2C_1 \frac{\Delta f}{f}, \quad \text{or} \quad \varepsilon'' = C_2 \Delta \left(\frac{1}{Q}\right)$$

➤ Use methanol as the reference solution.

□ A.P. Gregory and R.N. Clark --- *Tables of the Complex Permittivity* of *Dielectric Reference Liquids at Frequency up to 5 GHz*, NPL(National Physical Laboratory), March 2009

□ Measurement errors are within 0.1% for both real and imaginary parts of the permittivity





New Calibration Method

- For new calibration measurements, the distilled water is not used to clean the tube in the measurements. Instead, nitrogen gas flowing through the tube was used to evaporate any methanol that was remaining in the socket.
- Use new methanol stored in a glass bottle with permanent rubber cap to prevent water absorption from the air.
- New calibration constant increased about 2%. As a result, new measurements closer to Klein Swift for mid temperature range.



Measurements



➢New measurements based on the seawater purchased from OSIL(Ocean Scientific International ltd), 2011

- 1. 30 psu: $0 \sim 35^{\circ} C$
- 2. 35 psu: 0 ~ 40° C
- 3. 38 psu: 0 ~ 35° C

➢ For one temperature and salinity, at least three measurements were made.

The results of our measurements will be compared with Klein-Swift Model and Meissner-Wentz Model.





Results



4/11/2012



Real Part of Seawater Dielectric Constant (35 psu)







Imaginary Part of Seawater Dielectric Constant (35 psu)



34.992 Psu Dielectric Constant Results (Imaginary part)



Uncertainty Analysis



➢ For and Imaginary part, the uncertainty can be represented as

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(SW) + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(C_{M}) + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(C_{ref})$$

 $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(SW)$ is the uncertainty from seawater measurements $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(C_{M})$ is the uncertainty from methanol measurements $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(C_{ref})$ is the uncertainty from Gregory & Clark's report we used to determine the permittivity of methanol





Error reduction in the results

Real Dielectric Constant Uncertainty								
Temp	$\sigma_{\epsilon}(SW)$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}(C)$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}(ref)$	σ_{ϵ} (total)	σ _ε (2008)			
0	0.12	0.17	0.14	0.25	-			
5	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.26	-			
10	0.10	0.17	0.14	0.24	0.62			
15	0.20	0.16	0.13	0.29	0.67			
20	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.24	0.63			
25	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.24	0.70			
30	0.22	0.15	0.12	0.29	0.86			
35	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.24	1.02			
Average				0.26	0.75			





Error reduction in the results

Imaginary Dielectric Constant Uncertainty							
Temp	$\sigma_{\epsilon}(SW)$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}(C)$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}(ref)$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}(total)$	σ _ε (2008)		
0	0.09	0.19	0.08	0.22	-		
5	0.22	0.20	0.09	0.31	-		
10	0.19	0.22	0.1	0.31	0.44		
15	0.29	0.24	0.11	0.38	0.62		
20	0.29	0.26	0.12	0.40	0.53		
25	0.16	0.28	0.13	0.34	0.68		
30	0.29	0.31	0.14	0.45	1.03		
35	0.27	0.34	0.15	0.46	1.06		
Average				0.36	0.73		



GW Model Functions



➤GW Model Function was determined from the measurement results for seawater at 30 psu,35 psu, and 38 psu in the temperature range 0<T<35°C</p>

$$\varepsilon(S,T) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} c_{i,j} S^{i} T^{j}$$

where

$$c_{i,j} = a_{i,j} + jb_{i,j}$$

In the model function, ε is the complex dielectric constant, *S* is seawater salinity, *T* is the measured temperature (Celsius), and $c_{i,j}$ are complex coefficients. The average difference between GW model function and measurement data is 0.09 for real part and 0.21 for imaginary part.





Brightness Temperature



4/11/2012

Brightness Temperature for 35 psu seawater in H polarization





T_b H-pol EIA=41.7deg f= 1.413Ghz SSS= 35.00 psu



Brightness Temperature for 35 psu seawater in V polarization





T_b V-pol EIA=41.7deg f= 1.413Ghz SSS= 35.00 psu



Verification of Model Function Using Aquarius Validation Data System (AVDS)



- > AVDS Version 1.1 (Beam 1)
- Time Period : Oct 1st 2011 Jan 31st 2012
- Inversion Algorithms : MW, KS, GWU
- Inversion is carried out only for calm waters
- ➢ Brightness temperature : TbV (V-Pol)
- Physical temperature : Sea surface temperature (SST) at the closest point of approach (cpa_T)















Inversion Using Data from Aquarius Website



- Aquarius Version V 1.2.4 (Beam 1)
- ➢ Date: March 31st 2012 (5 orbits worth of data)
- Brightness Temperature : V-Pol TbV_rc (roughness corrected)
- > Wind Speed < 1m/s
- ➤ Land/Ice < 0.001</p>





V 1.2.4 Data Points = 13 Wind speed < 1m/s Land/Ice < 0.001 Backscatter < -25 dB





Conclusion



- ➢ More accurate new measurements have been made. The average variance
 - for all measurements is reduced to 0.31 compared with 0.74 in 2008.
- > Temperature range has been expanded to include 0 and 5 degrees.
- ➢ Initial comparison with in-situ data shows that the slope and variance of retrieved salinity vary with the closest point of approach (CPA distance) from the Argo buoys.





Future Work

- Investigate frequency pulling effect due to the interaction of capillary tube with exit holes in cavity covers.
- Finish the measurements at 40 degree for 30, 35, and 38 psu seawater.
- Compare data from Aquarius site with in situ Argo buoy data using roughness corrected brightness temperatures. Use radar backscatter to

determine calm surface conditions.





Thank you!



4/11/2012



Back up Slides



4/11/2012





Real Part of Seawater Dielectric Constant (30 psu)







Imaginary Part of Seawater Dielectric Constant (30 psu)







Real Part of Seawater Dielectric Constant (38 psu)







Imaginary Part of Seawater Dielectric Constant (38 psu)





Brightness Temperature for 30 psu seawater in H polarization





T_b H-pol EIA=41.7deg f= 1.413Ghz SSS= 30.00 psu



Brightness Temperature for 30 psu seawater in V polarization





T_b V-pol EIA=41.7deg f= 1.413Ghz SSS= 30.00 psu



Brightness Temperature for 38 psu seawater in H polarization





T_b H-pol EIA=41.7deg f= 1.413Ghz SSS= 38.00 psu



Brightness Temperature for 38 psu seawater in V polarization











The Improvements of Accuracy

- The error of the calibration measurements has been reduced to
 0.23% compared with an error of 0.76% found in 2008.
- For new seawater measurements, the average variance for all seawater measurements is reduced to 0.22 compared with 0.45 in 2008.



V 1.2.4 Data Points = 87 Wind speed < 1m/s Land/Ice < 0.001



